

# Evaluating Community and Organizational Transition to Enhance the Health Status of Residents of Northern Saskatchewan

Shared Paths for Northern Health Project Evaluation 2004-2006

## **EVALUATION SUMMARY**

Final Evaluation Report to the Northern Health Strategy Working Group September 30, 2006

Shardelle L. Brown M.Sc., Evaluation Coordinator Saskatchewan Population Health and Evaluation Research Unit (SPHERU) University of Regina & University of Saskatchewan



When citing this Evaluation Summary of the Final Evaluation Report, please use the following citation:
Brown SL, Jeffery B, Maslany G, Stockdale D, Abonyi S, McIntosh T, Irvine J, Cushon J. Evaluating Community and Organizational Transition to Enhance the Health Status of Residents of Northern Saskatchewan. Shared Paths for Northern Health Project Evaluation 2004-2006. Final Evaluation Report to the Northern Health Strategy Working Group. Saskatchewan: Prince Albert; Saskatchewan Population Health and Evaluation Research Unit, September 30, 2006.

## **Table of Contents**

Table of Contents	1
List of Tables	iv
Appendices	V
Acknowledgements	vi
Acronyms	Vii
Executive Summary	Vii
1. Northern Health Strategy Overview	1
2. Shared Paths for Northern Health – Project Overview	4
3. Evaluation Overview	8
<ul> <li>4. Evaluation Framework</li> <li>4.1 Evaluation Goal</li> <li>4.2 Evaluation Objectives</li> <li>4.3 Evaluation Questions</li> <li>4.4 Priority Aspects of the Project</li> <li>4.5 Intended Uses of Evaluation Findings</li> <li>4.6 Data Collection</li> <li>4.7 Data Analysis, Feedback, and Reporting</li> </ul>	10 10 10 11 11 12 12
5. Evaluation Findings by Project Component 5.1 Cross-Jurisdictional Issues 5.1.1 Activities, Outputs, and Outcomes 5.1.2 Project Recommendations and NHS Strategic Planning 5.1.3 Evaluation Findings 5.1.4 Summary Statement 5.1.5 Evaluation Recommendations 5.2 Community Development	17 18 19 20 20 22 23 24
5.2.1 Activities, Outputs, and Outcomes 5.2.2 Project Recommendations and NHS Strategic Planning 5.2.3 Evaluation Findings 5.2.4 Summary Statement 5.2.5 Evaluation Recommendations 5.3 Communications 5.3.1 Activities, Outputs, and Outcomes 5.3.2 Project Recommendations and NHS Strategic Planning	25 27 27 30 30 32 33 37
5.3.3 Evaluation Findings	38

5.3.4 Summary Statement	43
5.3.5 Evaluation Recommendations	44
5.4 Human Resources	
5.4.1 Activities, Outputs, and Outcomes	46
5.4.2 TAC Recommendations and NHS Strategic Planning	50
5.4.3 Evaluation Findings	51
5.4.4 Summary Statement	56
5.4.5 Evaluation Recommendations	56
5.5 Technical Advisory Committees	57
5.5.1 Mental Health and Addictions TAC	58
5.5.1.1 Activities, Outputs, and Outcomes	58
5.5.1.2 TAC Recommendations and NHS Strategic Planning	61
5.5.1.3 Evaluation Findings	64
5.5.1.4 Summary Statement	67
5.5.1.5 Evaluation Recommendations	67
5.5.2 Chronic Disease TAC	68
5.5.2.1 Activities, Outputs, and Outcomes	68
5.5.2.2 TAC Recommendations and NHS Strategic Planning	70
5.5.2.3 Evaluation Findings	71
5.5.2.4 Summary Statement	75
5.5.2.5 Evaluation Recommendations	75
5.5.3 Perinatal and Infant Health TAC	76
5.5.3.1 Activities, Outputs, and Outcomes	76
5.5.3.2 TAC Recommendations and NHS Strategic Planning	77
5.5.3.3 Evaluation Findings	79
5.5.3.4 Summary Statement	80
5.5.3.5 Evaluation Recommendations	80
5.5.4 Oral Health TAC	81
5.5.4.1 Activities, Outputs, and Outcomes	81
5.5.4.2 TAC Recommendations and NHS Strategic Planning	83
5.5.4.3 Evaluation Findings	85
5.5.4.4 Summary Statement	88
5.5.4.5 Evaluation Recommendations	88
5.5.5 Technical Advisory Committee Effectiveness Questionnaire	89
5.6 Information Systems	96
5.6.1 Information Technology TAC	97
5.6.1.1 Activities, Outputs, and Outcomes	97
5.6.1.2 TAC Recommendations and NHS Strategic Planning	99
5.6.1.3 Evaluation Findings	101
5.6.1.4 Summary Statement	103
5.6.1.5 Evaluation Recommendations	103
5.6.2 Health Information Management TAC	105
5.6.2.1 Activities, Outputs, and Outcomes	105
5.6.2.2 TAC Recommendations and NHS Strategic Planning	108
5.6.2.3 Evaluation Findings	110
5.6.2.4 Summary Statement	112
5.6.2.5 Evaluation Recommendations	113

ii of Contents

6. Overall Observations	114
6.1 Networking, Information Sharing, and Increased Awareness and Understanding	114
6.2 Short Timeline of the Project	114
6.3 Representation at TAC and NHSWG Meetings	115
6.4 Participation in Meetings and Activities	116
6.5 Clear Direction and Regular Feedback	117
6.6 Partnerships and Group Development	117
6.7 Communications	118
6.8 TAC Interaction	118
6.9 Staffing and Project Management/Coordination	119
6.10 Lessons Learned	120
6.11 Suggestions for Improvement	121
6.12 Sustainability	122
6.13 NHS Coordination	123
6.14 NHS Strategic Plan	123
6.15 Community Transition	124
6.16 NHS Leadership Meetings	124
6.17 Role of Government in Support of NHS	125
6.18 Project Success and Satisfaction Indicators	125
6.19 Primary Health Care Transition Fund and Aboriginal Envelope Objectives	128
7. Recommendations	131
8. Conclusion	133
References	134

## **List of Tables**

Table 1 – Data Collection Methods Utilized in each Project Component	15
Table 2 – Cross-Jurisdictional Issues Component Objectives and Anticipated Outcomes	18
Table 3 – Community Development Component Objectives and Anticipated Outcomes	24
Table 4 – Communications Component Objectives and Anticipated Outcomes	32
Table 5 – Human Resources Component Objectives and Anticipated Outcomes	45
Table 6 – Technical Advisory Committees Component Objectives and Anticipated Outcomes	57
Table 7 – Technical Advisory Committee Effectiveness Questionnaire (TACEQ) Response Rates for each Technical Advisory Committee at each Time Point	93
Table 8 – Overall Mean for each Dimension at each Time Point for the Mental Health and Addictions Technical Advisory Committee	93
Table 9 – Overall Mean for each Dimension at each Time Point for the Chronic Disease Technical Advisory Committee	94
Table 10 – Overall Mean for each Dimension at each Time Point for the Perinatal and Infant Health Technical Advisory Committee	94
Table 11 – Overall Mean for each Dimension at each Time Point for the Oral Health Technical Advisory Committee	95
Table 12 – Reliability Coefficient for each Dimension at each Time Point	95
Table 13 – Information Systems Component Objectives and Anticipated Outcomes	96

iv iv

## **Appendices**

The Appendices to the Final Evaluation Report are included within a separate document.

Appendix A	Shared Paths for Northern Health Project Logic Model	3
Appendix B	Evaluation Planning Questionnaire	8
Appendix C	Evaluation Matrices for each Project Component	12
Appendix D	Project and Technical Advisory Committees Success and Satisfaction Indicators	25
Appendix E	Sample Letter of Invitation, Consent Form, Transcript Release Form	31
Appendix F	Cross-Jurisdictional Issues Component Consultant Interview Guides Northern Health Strategy Working Group Representative Interview Guide	36
Appendix G	Community Development Component Consultant Interview Guides	40
Appendix H	Communications Component Coordinator Interview Guides Community Liaison Officer Questionnaires and Interview Guide	43
Appendix I	Human Resources Component Coordinator Interview Guides Technical Advisory Committee Focus Group Guides	55
Appendix J	Technical Advisory Committees Component Technical Advisory Committee Effectiveness Questionnaire Technical Advisory Committee Representative Interview Guides Technical Advisory Committee Focus Group Guides	60
Appendix K	Information Systems Component Coordinator/Consultant Interview Guides Technical Advisory Committee Focus Group Guides	69
Appendix L	Confidentiality Form	76

#### **Acknowledgements**

The Evaluation Coordinator would like to thank the Technical Advisory Committee Representatives, the Northern Health Strategy Working Group Representatives, and the Shared Paths for Northern Health Project Staff for their cooperation and participation in this evaluation. Also, thank you to those individuals who transcribed the interviews and focus groups, as well as to the research assistants who worked on data analysis and drafted/edited versions of this report.

I would also like to thank members of the Evaluation Team for their guidance, support, and participation in this advisory group: Dr. Sylvia Abonyi, Dr. George Maslany, and Dr. Tom McIntosh (Saskatchewan Population Health and Evaluation Research Unit); Dr. James Irvine and Donna Stockdale (Population Health Unit); and Lesley McBain and Patrick Odnokon (Northern Inter-Tribal Health Authority).

I would also like to extend a special thank you to my Supervisor, Dr. Bonnie Jeffery, Colleen Hamilton, and Tammy Stieb. Without the guidance and support of these three individuals, this evaluation would not have been possible.

vi

#### Acronyms

ACN Associated Counselling Network
AHA Athabasca Health Authority, Inc.
CD Community Development

CDTAC Chronic Disease Technical Advisory Committee

CEO Chief Executive Officer

CJDM Cross-Jurisdictional Decision-Making

CJI Cross-Jurisdictional Issues CLO Community Liaison Officer EHR Electronic Health Record

FNIHB First Nations and Inuit Health Branch

FNIHIS First Nations and Inuit Health Information System

HIM Health Information Management

HIMTAC Health Information Management Technical Advisory Committee

HISC Health Information Solutions Centre

HR Human Resources

HRTAC Human Resources Technical Advisory Committee

IT Information Technology

ITTAC Information Technology Technical Advisory Committee

KTRHA Kelsey Trail Regional Health Authority
KYRHA Keewatin Yatthe Regional Health Authority

LLRIB Lac La Ronge Indian Band

MCRRHA Mamawetan Churchill River Regional Health Authority

MFN-CAHR Manitoba First Nations – Centre for Aboriginal Health Research MHATAC Mental Health and Addictions Technical Advisory Committee

MLTC Meadow Lake Tribal Council
MOU Memorandum of Understanding
NCCC Northern Chronic Care Coalition
NITHA Northern Inter-Tribal Health Authority
OHTAC Oral Health Technical Advisory Committee

PAGC Prince Albert Grand Council PBCN Peter Ballantyne Cree Nation

PHC Primary Health Care

PHCTF Primary Health Care Transition Fund

PHU Population Health Unit

PIHTAC Perinatal and Infant Health Technical Advisory Committee

REB Research Ethics Board RFP Request for Proposal RHA Regional Health Authority

NHLWG Northern Health Leadership Working Group

NHS Northern Health Strategy

NHSWG Northern Health Strategy Working Group

NLF Northern Leadership Forum NMS Northern Medical Services

SAHO Saskatchewan Association of Health Organizations

SPHERU Saskatchewan Population Health and Evaluation Research Unit

TAC Technical Advisory Committee

TACEQ Technical Advisory Committee Effectiveness Questionnaire

UofR University of Regina

#### **Executive Summary**

All northern residents have the right to expect a certain level of health services, which presents a challenge for all northern health service delivery organizations given the north's unique history, geography, population, language, and culture. The Northern Health Strategy Working Group (NHSWG) grew out of the need for a Northern Health Strategy (NHS), that is, a unique way of meeting the health needs of northerners. The overall goal of the NHSWG is to improve the health of the residents of northern Saskatchewan through a more wholistic approach to health and health services, and through collaboration.

The Shared Paths for Northern Health project was an initiative of the NHSWG and funded by Health Canada's Primary Health Care Transition Fund (PHCTF), Aboriginal Envelope. The goal of this project was to utilize working relationships among the partners in the NHSWG to move to a primary health care approach, which is: comprehensive, accessible, coordinated, accountable, sustainable, and of good quality. In order to achieve this goal, as well as to enhance the health status of all northerners, the project created and utilized focused working groups known as Technical Advisory Committees (TACs), which consisted of primary health care providers and community residents who understood northern life to address specific areas of concern such as, mental health and addictions, chronic disease, perinatal and infant health, and oral health. These TACs were supported in their activities by various "support TACs" in the areas of human resources, information technology, and health information management. In addition, the project also had components that addressed the areas of communications, cross-jurisdictional issues, and community development. The NHSWG hoped to leave as project legacy: TACs that become models for future groups in other areas of health care; TACs that continue to promote collective relationships, collaboration, and cooperation; sustainable, ongoing improvement supported by organizational change; and shared paths of cooperation that continue to be built and developed across northern Saskatchewan.

The evaluation of the Shared Paths for Northern Health project focused on process, that is, the "how and why" of project implementation. Through a collaborative process, the evaluation coordinator, evaluation team, project staff, and the NHSWG identified the evaluation parameters (e.g., goal and objectives; priority issues to evaluate; intended uses of findings), which together formed the evaluation framework. The goal of this formative evaluation was to evaluate the process undertaken by the partners of the NHS in conducting the primary health care transition project to assess how well the process/project worked, including both the successes and challenges, with the intent to determine where improvements in or changes to the process/project needed to occur to ensure that progress was made towards desired outcomes. The evaluation objectives included:

- 1) Identify and promote improvements in or changes to both the process and project to ensure that progress is made towards the desired outcomes.
- Describe both the process and project successes with an examination of why both the process and project are succeeding.
- 3) Describe both the process and project challenges with an examination of why both the process and project challenges exist and how these are overcome.
- Establish the progress made towards the desired outcomes and the achievements of the project against the original project goal, objectives, and anticipated outcomes.
- Provide an assessment of the change in health service delivery in the project areas (e.g., mental health and addictions, chronic disease, perinatal and infant health, oral health).

viii

- 6) Identify the lessons learned from this process of working together and the next steps to continue to work together on other primary health care or health projects.
- 7) Provide an assessment of the applicability of this process/transitional model in the north of Saskatchewan and potentially elsewhere (e.g., the south, other northern regions of Canada).
- 8) Satisfy the evaluation requirements of the funded primary health care transition project.

An evaluation matrix (strategy) for each project component (i.e., cross-jurisdictional issues; community development; communications; human resources, technical advisory committees; information systems) was developed by the evaluation coordinator and presented to the relevant project staff, the NHSWG, and the evaluation team for discussion and feedback, prior to the start of data collection within that component. Each matrix outlined the proposed data collection methods and data sources, as well as relevant indicators and evaluation questions. In addition, ethical approval to conduct this evaluation was received from the University of Regina Research Ethics Board.

The data collection methods were chosen to maximize participation of project stakeholders and participants (e.g., focus groups, project diaries). These participatory methods were complemented by methods intended to gather information and measure progress toward project outputs and outcomes (e.g., document review, observation, semi-structured interviews, questionnaires). Data for the evaluation of all project components was collected: continuously, through document review, observation, and ongoing discussion and feedback with project staff; at intervals, through project diaries, questionnaires, and semi-structured interviews; and at a single-point in time (e.g., interviews with each NHSWG representative). As data or information was collected, it was compiled and analyzed utilizing the evaluation framework and the evaluation matrices for each project component, as well as the appropriate quantitative and qualitative data analysis procedures. Data analysis began early on in the project and continued through to the end of the project. Evaluation progress and findings were continuously fed back and reported, through oral and written means, to the project staff, the TACs, and the NHSWG.

Within this final evaluation report, each project component is discussed in terms of: objectives and anticipated outcomes; activities, outputs, and outcomes; project/TAC recommendations and NHS strategic plan; evaluation findings; a summary statement; and the evaluation's recommendations. This report also discusses overall observations related to the project and its process and these include: networking, information sharing, and increased awareness and understanding; short timeline of project; representation at TAC and NHSWG meetings; participation in meetings and activities; clear direction and regular feedback; partnerships and group development; communications; TAC interaction; staffing and project management/coordination; lessons learned; suggested improvements; sustainability; NHS coordination; NHS strategic plan; community transition; NHS Leadership meetings; and the role of government in support of NHS.

Overall, the Shared Paths for Northern Health project met some of its objectives and anticipated outcomes. The majority of the TACs constructed their work plans, and all TACs developed a current state assessment for their respective areas, which elucidated many of the gaps and weaknesses in services that exist in the north. From these current state assessments and identification of best practices, standards of care, and core services, the TACs developed and submitted recommendations to the NHSWG that aimed to improve health service delivery and ultimately improve the health status of northern residents. In addition, the project's consultants also progressed through their work plans and developed recommendations with respect to cross-jurisdictional decision-making, and developmental relationships as essential to community

development. Due to the fact that many of these recommendations were submitted at the end of the project (March 2006 and beyond), some recommendations have yet to be assessed or approved by the NHSWG. On the other hand, recommendations that were submitted early in the project have been approved, in some cases, and implemented to create change.

#### **Evaluation Recommendations**

The recommendations within this final evaluation report are based on: 1) the results of the data collection and analysis; 2) discussions with project staff, TAC representatives, and NHSWG representatives over the course of the evaluation; 3) observation of NHSWG and TAC group development and process; and 4) observation of project activities and progress. For each component of the project, a recommendation(s) has been put forth to ensure sustainability and to improve upon processes from the Shared Paths project. Furthermore, this report concludes with overall recommendations to be implemented by the NHSWG.

#### Cross-Jurisdictional Issues

It is recommended that the second Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) that formalizes the proposed cross-jurisdictional decision-making mechanism is ratified and signed as soon as possible so that jurisdictional issues which impede access to care or create inefficient care for residents of northern Saskatchewan can be resolved. Once the MOU is signed, resources should be devoted to the implementation of this mechanism (e.g., establish terms of reference; review and prioritize identified issues; determine research opportunities). Each level (i.e., TACs, NHSWG, Northern Health Leadership Working Group, Northern Leadership Forum) within this mechanism should prioritize at least one jurisdictional issue, identify realistic strategies to resolve the issue(s), and advocate for changes to habits and practices, organizational policies, governmental policies, Contribution Agreements, and/or legislation to resolve the particular issue(s). As suggested by the consultants, evaluation of the mechanism's performance should occur after one year.

#### **Community Development**

It is recommended that those NHS partners that wish to implement the community development strategy proposed by the Associated Counselling Network do so, and that these partners share the experiences, outcomes, and lessons learned from doing so with all NHS partners. It is also recommended that those NHS partners that do not wish to implement the proposed strategy continue to address the issue of community development, both within each organization and collectively, by:

- ensuring that it is a component of the NHS Strategic Plan and/or next NHS initiative;
   and
- contracting an organization/individual with expertise to work with those individuals in
  each NHS partner with community development responsibilities (with respect to health)
  to identify the internal strengths of each organization or communities within the region,
  and build upon these principles or best practices to provide additional direction for
  community development in the north.

It is also recommended that when working with consultants (or project staff) that the NHSWG: clearly identify the expectations of the work to be completed; establish criteria to assist in the

\_\_\_\_\_

X

identification of consultants to complete the work; clearly identify the deliverables of the work; provide clear direction in a timely manner; and establish good dialogue and reporting processes in order to appropriately manage the work (of course, with the support of the project coordinator) and to achieve the desired results.

#### Communications

Considering the achievements of the Communications Coordinator and the project in the area of communications, such as the increased awareness of the NHS in the north, the province, and Canada, it is recommended that the NHSWG give consideration to including a communications position within its Strategic Plan and request for core funding in order to ensure that project gains will not be lost, as well as to ensure the visibility of the NHS. With other funding secured through special projects, such as Shared Paths for Northern Health, consideration should be given to a second communications position, each with their own set of responsibilities. For example, one position would be responsible for planning and decisions; the other production and dissemination; or one position would be responsible for communication to the external stakeholders (e.g., media, general public); the other internal stakeholders (support for organizational communications needs or development of health promotion materials). If finances to support a communications position are not secured through core or special project funding, the NHS partners should give consideration to shared funding of a position.

In addition, the communications position should develop specific strategies to facilitate communications and information flow between the TACs as they continue to meet; between the TACs and the NHSWG; between the NHSWG and the NHS Leadership; and between the NHS and the communities of the north, utilizing the successes of the Shared Paths project (e.g., mini-NHSWG), as well as other innovative ideas.

#### **Human Resources**

In an effort to sustain the work of the Human Resources Coordinator, the Human Resources TAC, and the Communications Coordinator, it is recommended that the NHS partners: utilize the job and career fair materials kit at numerous events throughout the north and the province to encourage northern youth and high school students to pursue health careers, and health care professionals to work in the north; examine the findings and recommendations of the total compensation study and implement the suggestions, where possible and desirable to do so, in an attempt to narrow the existing gap between salary grids and recruitment and retention incentives among northern health organizations; and move forward with the next steps in the pursuit of a NHS bursary and scholarship program.

If the area of human resources is one that the NHSWG continues to pursue collaboratively (via core funding or special project support) through the TAC, then consideration should be given to: identifying a clear direction or mandate for the group; supporting the group with a competent leader; and ensuring the proper representation is at the table based on the intended outcomes of the collaboration, for example, a northern health human resource strategy or focused activities such as, creating a casual staff labour pool or collaboration on education and training initiatives.

#### Mental Health and Addictions TAC

As stated in the Mental Health and Addictions Technical Advisory Committee (MHATAC) Final Report, the TAC representatives are interested in continuing to meet once or twice a year in the future. Given the importance of mental health and addictions in the north, the NHSWG needs to give consideration to the next steps for the MHATAC. For example, will it remain a TAC with a north-wide focus or will the needs be better served with regional partnerships? The NHSWG should also seek input from the MHATAC representatives with respect to this decision. In addition, the NHSWG should formally review, discuss, and approve the recommendations developed and submitted by the MHATAC, in a fashion similar to the other TACs. These recommendations also need to be prioritized by the MHATAC or the NHSWG, and detailed work plans need to be created for the recommendations that are of high priority.

#### Chronic Disease TAC

The Chronic Disease Technical Advisory Committee (CDTAC) plans to continue its work in the form of the Coalition; however, the formation of this Coalition will require the leadership of CDTAC co-chairs in the absence of a TAC Coordinator, and furthermore, a NHS Coordinator. The work plan, charter, and logic model for the Coalition have already been drafted, along with a budget that identified actions with and without funding. Thus, it is recommended that the CDTAC continue its work under the new banner of the Coalition and that the NHSWG pursue funding for its work plan. Moreover, if funding is not secured, then implementation of its alternate work plan should be supported. In addition, it is recommended that the Coalition fosters the sustainability of the patient self-management training program given that patient self-management is an important component of the model espoused by the Coalition.

#### Perinatal and Infant Health TAC

Members of the Perinatal and Infant Health Technical Advisory Committee (PIHTAC) were quick to note that their own satisfaction would increase when recommendations are implemented. Evaluation findings, particularly comments from members of the TAC, leads to the recommendation that the TAC recommendations submitted should be followed up and implemented where appropriate. For instance, enhance supportive care for breastfeeding (e.g., lactation consultant for the north); provide training of peer support for breastfeeding; enhancing physician orientation to perinatal programs and services in the north; establishment of a perinatal forum to address quality of care issues. Furthermore, the Northern Breastfeeding Committee has not met recently and this group should be sustained in order to address this issue in northern communities. However, follow-up and implementation of the TAC recommendations and activities is threatened by the lack of a TAC coordinator/co-chairs, as well as a project coordinator past September 30, 2006. Thus, efforts should be made to determine co-chairs from within the TAC.

#### Oral Health TAC

The Oral Health Technical Advisory Committee (OHTAC) has expressed commitment to continuing their working relationship into the future. Thus, it is recommended that the OHTAC continue to pursue their work plan and the recommendations submitted to the NHSWG. In order to provide direction for the group, the OHTAC should prioritize its recommendations and modify

xii

the current work plan accordingly. Given the success of the OHTAC in developing and distributing resource material for the NHS partners and in providing a joint training session, it is recommended that the group continue these best practices.

Furthermore, it is strongly recommended that the NHSWG bring back to the table the dentist services proposal, confirming partner support for the proposal and direction on how to proceed (i.e., regionally, north-wide) to improve access to dentist services for the residents of northern Saskatchewan, particularly the adult population. Once there is direction on how to proceed, it is recommended that the NHSWG meet with potential funding agencies of this proposal, and formally discuss any and all jurisdictional issues that may impede access to services and identify solutions to these barriers, so that residents of northern Saskatchewan are no longer without access to care.

#### Information Technology TAC

Sustaining the work of the Information Technology Coordinator and the Information Technology Technical Advisory Committee (ITTAC) will hopefully lead to the development of a northern ehealth strategy, which is a requirement for the Health Information Solutions Centre to begin providing services. Thus, it is recommended that consideration be given to the development of a northern information officers forum or task force with the mandate to work collectively to build the information technology and management capacity of northern First Nations partners to that of the northern Regional Health Authorities, as well as to establish a northern e-health strategy (e.g., what does it look like and how to get there). It is recognized that this will require a significant period of time, as well as significant resources (i.e., financial, human, technological), which should be sought from all available sources (e.g., internal and external to the NHS partners, governmental and nongovernmental). Given that the website expired on August 31, 2006 (due to the lack of funds to maintain), the NHSWG should continue to pursue and implement the SharePoint web portal as a means to share and disseminate information to the partners without incurring costs.

#### Health Information Management TAC

The Health Information Management Technical Advisory Committee (HIMTAC) desires to continue meeting, either in its present form or as an amalgamation between the HIMTAC and the ITTAC. In either form, the HIMTAC should continue to pursue its short-term objectives of: a plan for strategic integration of health information and IT applications needed for a sustainable and intra-operative information system between health jurisdictions in northern Saskatchewan, with a streamlined and comprehensive collection of clinical documentation, information, utilization, and management of health information systems. These objectives should be met through the: implementation of the recommendation to establish and implement an electronic tool to capture client demographic, clinical, and nursing utilization information via the modification and utilization of an existing database or the development of a new one; development of a human resources development plan around health information and informatics; and development of the bridging plan with the ultimate goal of creating an electronic health information management system that is interoperable with the eventual pan-Canadian electronic health record.

#### Overall Recommendations to the NHSWG

These recommendations are not in a prioritized order and equal consideration should be given to all of the recommendations.

- 1. Given the scope of the Shared Paths project, the NHSWG should prioritize components of the project to move forward, as well as prioritize the recommendations within those components for implementation, and support accordingly.
- 2. It is strongly recommended that solid planning of all future NHS activities and projects takes place, given the challenges experienced in the Shared Paths project. For example, provide clear direction and expected deliverables to staff, working groups, consultants; clearly define roles and responsibilities; provide formal feedback mechanisms between stakeholders; identify actions in work plans; develop detailed budgets; etc. [Utilize the evaluation findings with respect to lessons learned, suggestions for improvement, and sustainability.]
- 3. In all NHS activities and projects, ensure that the vision and principles of the NHS are being addressed (e.g., coordination, cooperation, collaboration, communication, wholistic viewpoint, respect for jurisdictional authority, consensus).
- 4. Explore creative ways to ensure community involvement in the NHS and input into the process.
- 5. Given that collaboration is a principle of the NHS, the NHSWG is to ensure that links are being made with inter-sectoral partners (i.e., those that do not often view themselves as having a responsibility for health) where essential, for example, to address the underlying determinants of health such as, poverty, housing, and employment.
- 6. All NHS partners and funding agencies should ensure that there is representation at the table, through the nominated representative or an alternate, and that there is full participation by the representatives in all discussions and activities (NHSWG and TAC levels). Partner representation and participation in the process will help to address the challenges of health service delivery in the north, as well as contribute to the success and sustainability of the NHS.
- 7. When hiring NHS Coordination and/or project staff, give careful consideration to hiring individuals with the required knowledge and skill set. Often employees are willing to learn and opportunities for professional development and continuing education should be provided.
- 8. Improving access to services is a fundamental issue addressed by the NHS, as well as intent of the work of the TACs. Progress has been made within the project (e.g., dentist services proposal, CommunityNet), and efforts to improve access to health care services for residents of northern Saskatchewan should be continued by the NHS and supported by the funding agencies. A process or a forum should be established with the federal and provincial governments to address the issue of access to services, as evidenced by the stalling of the dentist services proposal.

xiv

- 9. Given successful advocacy efforts of the NHS (e.g., CommunityNet, Saskatchewan Registered Nurses Association transfer of medical function process; Health Quality Council Chronic Disease Management Collaborative), efforts of advocacy to positively impact health and social policy, through recommendations for changes or implementation of changes to policy should be continued by the NHS. The NHSWG should continue to identify specific areas for advocacy and take steps toward necessary change. As an example, advocate that funding agencies review current practice and guidelines with respect to project funding to allow for greater flexibility or adjustments, particularly with respect to timelines and/or extensions in order that effective and sustainable transition, which is generally the desired outcome, is possible.
- 10. There should be a concerted effort to document the history of the NHS (i.e., its development, activities, accomplishments, challenges). It is recognized that this will need the support of special project funds and personnel (i.e., contracted service) given the already demanding positions of the NHSWG representatives and NHS Coordinator; however, this should be considered.
- 11. The NHSWG representatives should give consideration to including a reflective analysis or an evaluation component to all NHS projects, continuing to strengthen the current relationship with SPHERU and/or developing new relationships with other evaluators (i.e., individuals, organizations), which will contribute to continued partnership development, as well as ensure sustainability.
- 12. Given the baseline data gathered through the Shared Paths project, as well as the project evaluation, it is recommended that another evaluation is conducted in five years to determine the impact of the project on: health service delivery; and community and organizational transition to improve the health of northern residents that is attributable to the project.

#### References

- 1. Northern Health Strategy Working Group. Community and Organizational Transition to Enhance the Health Status of all Northerners. Proposal to the Primary Health Care Transition Fund, Aboriginal Envelop, Health Canada. October 20, 2003.
- 2. Shared Paths for Northern Health Project Team. Northern Health Strategy A Meeting of the Partners May 18-19, 2005. PowerPoint Presentation, May 2005.
- 3. Saskatchewan Health. Saskatchewan, Healthy People. A Healthy Province. The Action Plan for Saskatchewan Health Care. December, 2001.
- 4. Northern Health Strategy Working Group. Terms of Reference Mental Health and Addictions Working Group. Shared Paths for Northern Health – A Project of the Northern Health Strategy Working Group. August, 2004.
- 5. Shared Paths for Northern Health Project Team. Shared Paths for Northern Health A Project of the Northern Health Strategy Working Group. Brochure, 2004.
- 6. Manitoba First Nations Centre for Aboriginal Health Research. Proposal Cross-jurisdictional Decision-making. In Response to the Northern Health Strategy Working Group Request for Proposal. Winnipeg, Manitoba: University of Manitoba. February 3, 2005.
- 7. Associated Counselling Network. A Proposal to Support the Northern Health Strategy Working Group to Develop Strategies for Community Development in Northern Saskatchewan. May 4, 2005.
- 8. Froese G. Communications Work Plan for the Shared Paths for Northern Health Project. February 2005.
- 9. Patton MQ. *Utilization-focused evaluation: the new century text.* 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. California: Thousand Oaks; SAGE Publications, Inc., 1997.
- 10. Denzin N, Lincoln Y. Handbook of qualitative research. 1st ed. California: Thousand Oaks; SAGE Publications, Inc., 1994.
- 11. Brown SL. Evaluating Community and Organizational Transition to Enhance the Health Status of Residents of Northern Saskatchewan. Shared Paths for Northern Health Project Evaluation 2004-2006. Interim Evaluation Report to the Northern Health Strategy Working Group. Saskatchewan: Prince Albert; Saskatchewan Population Health and Evaluation Research Unit, September 6, 2005.
- 12. Shared Paths for Northern Health Project Team. Project Logic Model. April and October 2005.
- 13. Lavoie JG. A Cross-jurisdictional Decision-making Process for Northern Saskatchewan. Volume I Findings, Analysis and Recommendations. Final Report, First Draft. Manitoba First Nations – Centre for Aboriginal Health Research University of Manitoba. May 2006.

- xvi References

- 14. Lavoie JG. A Cross-jurisdictional Decision-making Process for Northern Saskatchewan. Volume II Appendices. Final Report, First Draft. Manitoba First Nations Centre for Aboriginal Health Research University of Manitoba. May 2006.
- 15. Northern Health Strategy. Request for Funds. September 2006.
- 16. Associated Counselling Network. A Culture of Developmental Relationships. A Report on the Direction of Community Development in Northern Saskatchewan. Submitted to the Northern Health Strategy Working Group, March 2006.
- 17. Froese G. Media Plan for Shared Paths for Northern Health Project. December 2004.
- 18. Zaal J. Shared Paths for Northern Health A Project of the Northern Health Strategy. Communications Final Report 2006. Submitted to the Northern Health Strategy Working Group, August 2006.
- 19. Lloyd D. Human Resources Work Plan for the Shared Paths for Northern Health Project. March 2005.
- 20. Zaal J. Human Resources Technical Advisory Committee Final Report. Shared Paths for Northern Health. Submitted to the Northern Health Strategy Working Group, June 2006.
- 21. Elias JW. Report to the Northern Health Strategy Working Group by the Mental Health and Addictions Technical Advisory Committee. Shared Paths for Northern Health. Submitted to the Northern Health Strategy Working Group, April 2006.
- 22. Ward J. Chronic Disease Technical Advisory Committee Final Report to the Northern Health Strategy Working Group, Submitted to the Northern Health Strategy Working Group, April 2006.
- 23. Fafard R. Final Report of the Perinatal and Infant Health Technical Advisory Committee. Shared Paths for Northern Health. Submitted to the Northern Health Strategy Working Group, March 2006.
- 24. Northern Oral Health Working Group, Haysom A. Oral Health Shared Paths for Northern Health, Northern Health Strategy. Submitted to the Northern Health Strategy Working Group, May 2006.
- 25. Bighead C. Information Technology Technical Advisory Committee Final Report. Shared Paths for Northern Health. Submitted to the Northern Health Strategy Working Group, March 2006.
- 26. Bighead C. Health Networks in the North: First Nations Access to CommunityNet. A Briefing Document prepared for the Northern Health Strategy Working Group. August 2005.
- 27. Swanson D. Health Information Management Work Plan for the Shared Paths for Northern Health Project. March 2005.
- 28. Swanson D. Health Information Management Technical Advisory Committee Report. Shared Paths for Northern Health. Submitted to the Northern Health Strategy Working Group, March 2006.

- 29. Tuckman BW. Developmental sequence in small groups. *Psychological Bulletin* 1965; 63: 384-399.
- 30. Health Canada. Primary Health Care Transition Fund Program Guidelines for the Aboriginal Envelope. Ottawa, Ontario.
- 31. Fyke KJ. Caring for medicare: sustaining a quality system. Commission on Medicare, Government of Saskatchewan, April 2001.
- 32. Romanow RJ. Building on values: the future of health care in Canada final report. Commission on the Future of Health Care in Canada, November 2002.

–xviii